



Struktura trestných činů
Crime structure




Roční průměr 1995–1998
Annual average 1995–1998

Roční průměr 2005–2008
Annual average 2005–2008

NÁSILNÁ KRIMINALITA VIOLENT CRIME



- Loupež
Rabbery
- Úmyslné ublížení na zdraví
Actual bodily harm
- Nebezpečné vyhrožování
Dangerous threatening
- Nebezpečné pronásledování
Stalking
- Ostatní násilné trestné činy
Other violent crimes



Osa x: změna 1995–1998 oproti 2000–2003
(v procentních bodech)


Osa y: změna 2000–2003 oproti 2005–2008
(v procentních bodech)

Typy místních oddělení
policie podle změny indexu
násilné/majetkové
kriminality

Types of local police districts
according to violent/property crime
index change


Osa x: změna 1995–1998 oproti 2000–2003
(v procentních bodech)

Osa y: změna 2000–2003 oproti 2005–2008
(v procentních bodech)



Roční průměr 2010–2013
Annual average 2010–2013

MAJETKOVÁ KRIMINALITA PROPERTY CRIME



- Krádeže vloupáním
Burglaries
- Kapesní krádeže
Pick-pockets
- Krádeže aut a věcí z aut
Thefts of cars and inside cars
- Jiné prosté krádeže
Other thefts

Research on various types of crime or selected criminal offences at larger territorial unit level was conducted by so called European cartographic school of criminology as early as the 19th century. Research at city level consisted mainly of ethnographic studies, e.g. Mayhew (1861 [2009]) studied London underworld, Thrasher (1927 [2013]) analysed youth gangs. More extensive analyses of patterns and distribution of various criminal offences are associated with the Chicago school. However, major development took place only later, in the context of social problems associated with an increase in crime in the 1960s. Phillips (1972, cit. in Smith 1986, p. 6) on the example of US cities pointed to the declining incidence of certain criminal offences towards the outskirts (car theft, robbery, burglary) and to the existence of specific territorial clusters of e.g. rape, property crime or pickpocketing. Smith (1986) followed up on his work and identified a higher concentration of thefts, burglaries, robberies and sexual harassment in the inner city. Environmental criminology research highlighted the search for links and causes of the spatial distribution of crime, the importance of social and physical environment, social ties and the specifics of city development.

Research on the spatial distribution of crime within Prague has not been given much attention. A study on robbery in Prague was published only in the 1990s (Osmančík 1992). The number of publications has since increased with the majority of them being overview studies on the structure of crime (e.g. Marešová and Scheinost 2001), studies focused on a selected criminal offence (e.g. robbery in Prague, Rozum et al. 2005) or on crime victims (Martinková 2002). Although these studies provide a wealth of valuable information, most of them lack spatial aspect with the exception of studies by Čermák (2008), Karban (2009) or Temelová et al. (2012). At the same time, there is new research into crime in various residential areas of Prague, e.g. in the centre and inner city (Jichová and Temelová 2012a, b).

The aim of the map sheet is to assess spatial differentiation and changes in recorded crime structure in Prague in 1995–2013. The classification of crime is taken from the tactical and statistical classification used by the police with an emphasis on general crime (consists of violent, property and moral crime) consisting of violent and property crime and their detailed structure. The maps use classic crime indices (number of recorded criminal offences per number of inhabitants), detection (share of criminal offences in which the identity of the perpetrator is known) and typologies based on the indices from the 1990s to the present time. The assessment covers differences between local police departments (hereinafter LPD) and city zones defined on the basis of residential buildings in accordance with the typology of Ouředníček et al. (2012) (see Typology of residential areas).


The data is primarily acquired from the Czech Police Presidium

statistics (Statistical Recording System of Crime) covering the period from 1995 to the present time. The statistics include only recorded crime which may differ substantially from the real situation. In the case of certain criminal offences, the undetected (latent) crime may constitute up to 70% (see also Marešová and Scheinost 2001). High latency is mainly associated with theft since it represents a significant part of overall crime rate and thus has a considerable influence on it (see also Marešová 2006). Crime statistics are also influenced by other circumstances such as statistical data processing and the way police record criminal offences. For example, a series of car thefts is sometimes recorded as one, sometimes as more criminal offences (Marešová 2006). Another differentiating factor are legislative changes, e.g. in 2002 the threshold for property crimes increased from 2500 CZK to 5000 CZK, in 2006 driving without a licence became a criminal offence (see also Marešová 2006). Furthermore, there is a lack of continuous data regarding the population present in the city, which accounts for a significant part of the crime rate. Therefore, areas with a low number of residents, but a high number of people present are disadvantaged (e.g. city centre). A more detailed description of other problematic areas related to criminal data processing may be found in Part Crime in Prague.

The evolution of the structure of crime is associated with society-wide changes after 1989. The structure of crime in Prague has changed considerably since 1995, as “new” types of criminal offences were gradually incorporated into legislation (computer crime, credit card fraud etc.). Thus, the number and share of other, remaining and economic criminal offences has risen since the 1990s (see also Figure 6.2.1 in Czech version). On the other hand, there is a decrease in general crime index. Only several LPDs in the city centre and inner city (Libeň, Nusle, Pankrác or Smíchov) with a high share of property crime still have high values.

Since the 1990s, violent and property crime index has been declining in Prague, which is particularly evident today. Both indices have highest values in the city centre and decrease towards the outskirts (see also Table 6.2.1). The concentration of crime in the city centre is mainly associated with close links between certain criminal offences and the presence of potentially suitable victims/targets, e.g. pickpocketing, robberies, car thefts or thefts from cars. The detection for violent and property crimes varies considerably. Despite a gradual decline, the average detection for violent crime is over 50% in every period, whereas for property crime it is less than 20%. The character of the crime plays an important role here, as violent crime is linked to personal contact with the perpetrator, whereas in property crime the contact is less common.

Despite the generally declining rates, violent crime index undergoes a different evolution in each neighbourhood. While in the outer city and urban periphery LPDs a gradual decline may



Roční průměr 2010–2013
Annual average 2010–2013

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Podíl trestných činů dané skupiny se známým pachatelem (v %)
Share of crimes of defined group with known offender (in %)

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Podíl trestných činů dané skupiny se známým pachatelem (v %)
Share of crimes of defined group with known offender (in %)

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes

Průměrný počet majetkových trestných činů
Average number of property crimes

Průměrný počet násilných trestných činů
Average number of violent crimes



UNIVERZITA KARLOVA V PRAZE
Přirodovědecká fakulta
katedra sociální geografie
a regionálního rozvoje

CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE
Faculty of Science
Department of Social Geography
and Regional Development

URR
Urban a regionální laborator
Urban and Regional Laboratory

HISTORICKÁ DATA V GIS
PROJEKT V RÁMCI APLIKOVANÉHO VÝZKUMU A VÝVOJE NÁRODNÍ A KULturní IDENTITY

Autor textu: Jana Jichová
Autor návrhu: Jana Jichová
Grafické a kartografické zpracování mapového listu: Jiří Nemeškal

Specializovaná mapa byla vytvořena s podporou Ministerstva kultury České republiky v rámci Programu aplikovaného výzkumu národní a kulturní identity (NAKI) DF12P010VV033 "Zpřístupnění historických prostorových a statistických dat v prostředí GIS".

The specialized map was created with the support of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic within the framework of the National and Cultural Identity Programme (NAKI), research project F12P010VV033 "Disclosure of Historic Spatial and Statistical Data in GIS environment".

